


### III. Conclusion

Applicants have demonstrated in the foregoing remarks that the various legal theories advanced by the Interview Summary relating to continuity under § 120 are unsupported, and are indeed contrary to the controlling legal authorities. Moreover, applicants have set forth the proper test for continuity, and have established in these remarks and in prior submissions why those claims of the instant application for which applicants assert a 1981 priority date are entitled to the benefit of the 1981 filing date. Reconsideration and allowance of the instant application are therefore respectfully requested.

Date: May 6, 2002

**FISH & NEAVE**  
1251 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10020

Respectfully submitted,



Joseph M. Guiliano  
Reg. No. 36,539  
Tel.: (212) 596-9000  
Fax: (212) 596-9090